Note

Kinetic Resolution of Racemic *α***-tert-Alkyl-***α***-hydroxy Esters by Enantiomer-Selective Carbamoylation**

Nobuhito Kurono, Kentaro Ohtsuga, Masanori Wakabayashi, Tadahiro Kondo, Hirohito Ooka, and Takeshi Ohkuma[*](#page-5-0)

Division of Chemical Process Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8628, Japan

***^S** *Supporting Information*

ABSTRACT: Kinetic resolution of sterically hindered racemic *α*-*tert*alkyl-*α*-hydroxy esters is performed by enantiomer-selective carbamoylation with the *t*-Bu-Box−Cu(II) catalyst (Box = bis- (oxazoline)). The reaction with 0.5 equiv of $n-C₃H₇NCO$ is carried out with a substrate-to-catalyst molar ratio of 500−5000 at −20 to 25 °C. The high enantiomer-discrimination ability of the catalyst achieves an excellent stereoselectivity factor $(s = k_{\text{fast}}/k_{\text{slow}})$ of 261 in the best case. A catalytic cycle for this reaction is proposed.

ptically active α -hydroxy esters have been widely used as the intermediates and the chiral auxiliaries for the synthesis of biologically useful compounds. $1,2$ Kinetic resolution of these racemic alcohols is one o[f](#page-5-0) [t](#page-5-0)he most reliable and generally employable methods to obtain the chiral compounds in an enantiomerically pure form (in principle), although the chemical yield is 50% at most.^{2b,3,4} Many useful asymmetric reactions including enzymati[c](#page-5-0) [tra](#page-5-0)nsformations have been developed for this purpose. To our knowledge, however, no efficient procedures applicable to the *α*-*tert*-alkyl*α*-hydroxy esters have been reported, primarily because of the marked steric hindrance around the hydroxy group.^{[5](#page-5-0)} Figure 1

Figure 1. Bioactive molecules with structures derived from *α*-*tert*-alkyl*α*-hydroxy esters.

shows examples of bioactive molecules that have structures derived from chiral α -tert-alkyl- α -hydroxy esters.⁶ Thus, development of an efficient resolution method of t[h](#page-5-0)is class

of compounds is required from the scientific and practical viewpoints.

We rece[nt](#page-5-0)ly reported the kinetic resolution of *α*-hydroxy *γ*lactones with the enantiomer-selective carbamoylation catalyzed by the chiral bis(oxazoline) (Box)−Cu(II) complexes.^{8−10} The racemic α -hydroxy *γ*-lactones smoothly reacted with [0.5](#page-5-0) [eq](#page-5-0)uiv of isocyanates (RNCO) to give the carbamate and the unreacted substrate in high ee for both compounds. The reaction was conducted with a substrate-to-catalyst molar ratio (S/C) of 2000−3000, and the stereoselectivity factor *s* $(k_{\text{fast}}/k_{\text{slow}})^{11}$ reached 209 in the best case.⁸ Thus, we expected that the [high](#page-5-0) catalytic activity and ena[nt](#page-5-0)ioselectivity of the Box−Cu(II) complex enables efficient kinetic resolution of bulky *α*-*tert*-alkyl-*α*-hydroxy esters with the enantiomerselective carbamoylation.

Racemic methyl 2-hydroxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoate $[(\pm)$ -1a] was selected as a substrate to optimize the reaction conditions (Table 1). When (±)-1a (5.0 mmol, 0.5 M) and 0.5 equiv of *n*- C_3H_7NCO C_3H_7NCO were mixed with $[Cu{(S,S)-t-Bu-box}](OTf)_2$ $(TfO^-$ = trifluoromethanesulfonate) $[(S, S) - 3]^{8,12}$ (10 μ mol, $S/C = 500$) in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C, the conversion [rea](#page-5-0)ched 50.2% in 1 h to give the unreacted (*R*)-1a in 95.1% ee and the carbamate (*S*)-2a in 94.4% ee (entry 1). A sufficiently high *s* value of 130 was obtained. The enantiomer selectivity was decreased with the increase of the substrate concentration (entries 1−3). An increase of reaction temperature during the carbamoylation under the higher substrate-concentration conditions due to the heat of the reactions is considered to be the main reason for the decrease in enantiomer selectivity. It is noteworthy that the carbamoylation proceeded smoothly at an S/C of 5000 with maintenance of a high level of

Received: September 20, 2011 Published: October 29, 2011

Table 1. Carbamoylation of Racemic Methyl 2-Hydroxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoate [(**±**)-1a] with Chiral Cu(II) Catalyst 3*^a*

		HO O OCH ₃	n -C ₃ H ₇ NCO $(S, S) - 3$ CH ₂ Cl ₂	∩ $-N$ -n-C ₃ H ₇ HO O $\ddot{}$ OCH ₃ OCH ₃		$2+$ $2TfO^-$ Cú		
		(\pm) -1a		(R) -1a	(S) -2a	$(S, S) - 3$		
entry	temp (°C)	$[1a]_0$ $(M)^b$	S/C^c	time(h)	ee _{1a} $(\%)^d$	ee _{2a} $(\%)^d$	convn $(\%)^e$	
1	25	0.5	500	$\mathbf{1}$	95.1	94.4	50.2	130
2	25	0.8	500		93.8	93.9	50.0	112
3	25	3.4	500		92.3	91.3	50.3	73
4	25	0.5	1000		96.6	93.7	50.7	126
5	25	0.5	2000		94.8	93.3	50.4	106
6	25	0.5	5000	2	94.4	93.3	50.3	104
	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.5	500	1	80.5	96.8	45.4	153
8	$\bf{0}$	0.8	500		82.1	96.7	45.9	153
9	$\bf{0}$	3.4	500		95.4	95.6	50.0 ^g	170
10	$\bf{0}$	neat^h	500		95.8	93.2	50.7	111
11	$\bf{0}$	3.4	1000	2	96.0	94.8	50.3	148
12	$\boldsymbol{0}$	3.4	2000	3	92.6	94.6	49.4	121
13	-20	3.4	500	3	96.4	96.6	49.9	234
14	-20	3.4	1000	6	93.6	95.7	49.4	160
$a_{1,11}$			\ldots (\ldots) 1 \ldots (1	-1	$1 \cap r$	\ldots	المستحدث والمتعاونة والمستحدث	$(c c)$ \rightarrow b_{L}

*a*Unless otherwise stated, reactions were conducted using (±)-1a (1−5 mmol) and 0.5 equiv of *n*-C3H7NCO in CH2Cl2 containing (*S*,*S*)-3. *^b*Initial concentration of 1a. "Substrate-to-catalyst molar ratio. "Determined by chiral GC analysis. "Convn = $e_{1a}/(e_{1a} + e_{2a})$." $f_s = \ln[(1 - \text{convn})(1 - e_{1a})]/\ln[(1 - \text{convn})(1 + e_{1a})]$."Substrate-to-catalyst molar ratio. "Determined by chi

enantioselectivity (*s* > 100), although superior enantiomer discrimination was available in the reactions under lower S/C conditions (entries 4−6). The reaction at 0 °C with an initial substrate concentration of 0.5 M showed enantioselectivity higher than that observed at 25 °C (*s* value, 153 vs 130) (entries 1 and 7). Interestingly, the higher substrateconcentration condition of 3.4 M resulted in even better enantiomer discrimination, although the reason for this result was not clear (entries 7−9). The carbamoylation without solvent still afforded very high enantioselectivity (*s* = 111) (entry 10). This feature has benefit for application to practical and green synthesis. The kinetic resolution ([1a]₀ = 3.4 M) at 0 °C with an S/C of 1000 or 2000 still exhibited a high level of enantioselectivity and reactivity (entries 11 and 12). A remarkably high enantiomer selectivity ($s = 234$ at S/C = 500, $s = 160$ at $S/C = 1000$) was obtained in the reaction at −20 °C (entries 13 and 14).

The kinetic resolution of a series of *α*-*tert*-alkyl-*α*-hydroxy esters 1 by using enantiomer-selective carbamoylation with the Cu(II) catalyst (S, S) -3 $(S/C = 500)$ at 0 °C was examined. The results are summarized in Table 2. The initial substrate concentration ($[1]_0$) was set at 2.9–3.4 M, except for the hardly soluble compounds 1g, 1h, and 1i in CH_2Cl_2 . 2-Hydroxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoates with a methyl, ethyl, isopropyl, or *tert*-butyl ester moiety, (±)-1a−1d, smoothly reacted with 0.5 equiv of $n-C_3H_7NCO$ in 1 h to give the *R*-enriched substrates (*R*)-1a−1d and the corresponding *S* carbamates (*S*)- 2a−2d in high ee (entries 1−4). The *s* values in the range of 153-195 suggest that the size of the ester group (CO_2R^3) is not a decisive factor for the enantiomer selection. A high *s* value of 182 was obtained in the carbamoylation of the substrate with an even bulkier α -[dimethyl(phenyl)]methyl group (\pm)-1e, although it took a longer period to reach 50% conversion (entry 5). The *α*-*tert*-alkyl structure of the substrates is crucial to achieve high enantioselectivity. Thus, the *s* values of the reactions with the α -isopropyl- and α -(diphenyl)methyl-

substituted hydroxy esters, (\pm) -1f and -1g, were only 12 and 29, respectively (entries 6 and 7). In contrast, much higher enantioselectivity (*s* = 261) was observed in the reaction of the α -[methyl(diphenyl)]methyl substrate (\pm)-1h (entry 8). The kinetic resolution using 50 mmol (13.5 g) of (\pm) -1h and a 0.6 equiv of n -C₃H₇NCO was conducted to obtain enantiomerically pure (*R*)-1h (entry 9). The *R* alcohol in >99.9% ee (6.3 g) was obtained at 53% conversion (see the Experimental Section). (*R*)-1h is known to be readily con[verted to the](#page-2-0) [enantiom](#page-2-0)er of an endothelin receptor antagonist BSF302146 (see Figure 1).6a The *s* value of 21 for the *α*-[methoxy (diphenyl)][met](#page-0-0)[hyl](#page-5-0) substrate (\pm) -1i was much lower than that in the reaction of (\pm) -1h ($s = 261$), although the bulkiness of both *α*-substituents is similar (entries 8 and 10). The *β*methoxy function of 1i may coordinatively interact with the $Cu(II)$ center of the catalyst 3, resulting in the insufficient enantiomer discrimination. The *α*-hydroxy ester with a 1 methylcyclohexyl group at the α position (\pm) -1j was also kinetically resolved with high enantioselectivity (entry 11).

A proposed mechanism for the carbamoylation of *α*-hydroxy esters catalyzed by Box−Cu(II) complexes is shown in Scheme $1.^8$ [Cu(Box)](OTf)₂ (A) liberates the counteranion, TfO⁻, to [b](#page-2-0)[ec](#page-5-0)ome the solvated cationic species $\left[\text{Cu}(\text{Box})\text{S}_n\right]^{2+}$ (B) in the reaction mixture. The weakly binding molecules (S) are replaced by an α -hydroxy ester (HE) to form a chelation complex C. The species C reacts with RNCO to afford the carbamate (CAR)−Cu(II) complex D. The mode of carbamoylation at the stage of C to D is not clear yet. Catalytic species B and/or C are regenerated with release of the carbamate. The three cationic species, B, C, and D, could be in equilibrium. The HE−Cu(II) species C with a rigid fivemembered chelate structure is more stable than the solvated complex B and the CAR−Cu(II) complex D with a sevenmembered chelate structure, so that this reaction proceeds without serious product inhibition and achieves a high turnover number. The carbamoylation is one of the addition reactions

*a*Unless otherwise stated, reactions were conducted using (±)-1 (1−5 mmol) and 0.5 equiv of *n*-C₃H₇NCO at 0 °C in CH₂Cl₂ containing (*S*,*S*)-3. $S/C = 500$. ^{*b*} Initial concentration of 1. *C* Determined by chiral GC analysis. Absolute configurations of 1 and 2 are indicated in the parentheses. d Convn = ee₁/(ee₁ + ee₂). ^eIsolated yield. f s = ln[(1 – convn)(1 – ee₁)]/ln[(1 – convn)(1 + ee₁)]. ^gThe approximate number is shown, because 1g is a solid substrate. ^{*h*} Reaction using value is almost 1.

but not a substitution reaction. Therefore, there is no leaving anion that inhibits the catalytic performance of $Cu(II)$ cationic species.

In conclusion, the *t*-Bu-Box−Cu(II)-catalyzed carbamoylation precisely discriminates two enantiomers of *α*-*tert*-alkyl-*α*-hydroxy esters to give the unreacted hydroxy esters and the carbamates

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism for the Cu(II)-Catalyzed Carbamoylation of *^α*-Hydroxy Esters*^a*

 a HE = α -hydroxy ester, CAR = carbamate, S = solvent or weakly coordinated compounds.

in high ee. The reaction was carried out with an S/C in the range of 500−5000 under a high concentration of substrates (ca. 3 M or without solvent). A remarkably high *s* value (k_{fast}/n) k_{slow}) of 261 is observed in the best case. A series of such sterically hindered chiral *α*-hydroxy esters, which are difficult compounds to prepare by other methods, is obtained through the enantiomer-selective reaction. The performance of a multigram-scale reaction demonstrates the facility for scale up of this process. A plausible catalytic cycle for this reaction is also described.

■ **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Remarks. Racemic hydroxy esters 1a−1g and 1j were prepared via cyanosilylation of the corresponding aldehydes followed by hydrolysis of the nitrile moiety and esterification with *N*,*N*′- diisopropyl-*O*-alkylisourea reagents.¹³ (±)-1h and 1i were prepared in accordance with the literature.¹⁴ [\(](#page-6-0)*S*)-2,2-Isopropylidene-bis(4-*tert*butyl-2-oxazoline) was purchas[ed](#page-6-0) from a commercial source and used without further purification. Racemic carbamates 2a−2i were prepared as described in the literature.¹⁵ *n*-Propyl isocyanate and anhydrous $Cu(OTf)_2$ were purchased [fro](#page-6-0)m a commercial source. Anhydrous THF and $CH₂Cl₂$ were purchased from a commercial source and used without further purification. For preparative column chromatography and thin layer chromatography, commercially available silica gel (100−210 mm) and precoated TLC (silica gel, 1.0 mm) were used, respectively. NMR spectra were recorded on two types of spectrometer: 270 MHz for ${}^{1}\hat{H}$ NMR, 67.8 MHz for ${}^{13}C$ NMR; and 400 MHz for ${}^{1}H$ NMR, 100 MHz for ${}^{13}C$ NMR. The

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

chemical shifts were reported downfield from TMS ($\delta = 0$ ppm) for ¹H NMR. For ¹³C NMR, chemical shifts were reported in the scale relative to the solvent used as an internal reference. High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis, gas chromatography (GC) analysis, IR spectra, optical rotations, and melting points (mps) were measured on standard commercial equipments.

Typical Procedure for Enantiomer-Selective Carbamoylation of Racemic Methyl 2-Hydroxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoate $[(\pm)$ -1a]. $[Cu\{(S,S)-t-Bu-box\}](\text{OTf})$ ₂ $[(S,S)-3]$ was prepared by the method described in a previous report.⁸ Freshly distilled (\pm) -1a (731 mg, 5.00 mmol) was placed in an oven-[d](#page-5-0)ried 20-mL Schlenk flask equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar, a serum-rubber cap, and a rubber balloon filled with argon. The 20 mM CH_2Cl_2 solution of (S, S) -3 (0.50 mL, 10 μ mol) was added to this flask through a syringe filter unit (pore size of 450 nm) to remove turbidity. The resulting solution was stirred for 10 min at 0 °C. To this solution was added n -C₃H₇NCO (0.23 mL, 2.5 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 \degree C for 1 h. Methanol (1 mL) was added to the mixture, and it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was passed through a silica gel pad eluted with ethyl acetate to remove metal components, affording a mixture of recovered (*R*)-1a and the carbamate (S)-2a. The ee values of 1a and 2a, ee_{1a} and ee_{2a}, respectively, were determined by GC analysis. The conversion was estimated by the following equation: convn = $ee_{1a}/(ee_{1a} + ee_{2a})$.¹¹ The estimated conversion value agreed well with that determined [by](#page-5-0) GC analysis using tetralin as an internal standard. The stereoselectivity factor ($s = k_{\text{fast}}/k_{\text{slow}}$) was calculated by the following equation: $s =$ $\ln[(1 - \text{convn})(1 - \text{ee}_{1a})]/\ln[(1 - \text{convn})(1 + \text{ee}_{1a})].$

(R)-Methyl 2-Hydroxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoate [(R)-**[1a](#page-5-0)**]. 45% yield (333 mg, colorless oil); $R_f = 0.21$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1); $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D -35.5 (*c* 0.96, CHCl₃) (lit.¹⁴ $[\alpha]^{22}$ _D +40.4 (*c* 3.22, CHCl₃), 97% ee (S)); IR (KBr) 3516, 29[58](#page-6-0), 1733 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.97 (s, 9H), 2.74 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.82 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.7, 35.1, 51.9, 78.4, 174.7; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 169.0841, [M + Na+], obsd 169.0838; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex (0.32 mm × 25 m); carrier gas, helium (72 kPa); column temp, 80 °C; retention time (t_R) of (R) -1a: 8.8 min, t_R of (S) -1a: 10.2 min; 95% ee.

(S)-Methyl 3,3-Dimethyl-2-propylaminocarbonyloxybutanoate [(S)-**2a**]. 50% yield (577 mg, colorless oil); *Rf* = 0.07 (eluent, *n*hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1); $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D +13.8 (*c* 1.03, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3376, 2964, 2876, 1730 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.93 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 1.01 (s, 9H), 1.54 (sext, 2H, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 3.15 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.61 (s, 1H), 4.89 (br s, 1H), ¹³C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 11.0, 22.9, 26.0, 33.5, 42.6, 51.4, 79.8, 155.8, 170.5; Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₂₁NO₄: C, 57.12, H, 9.15, N, 6.06, Found: C, 56.84, H, 9.12, N, 6.01; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 254.1368 [M + Na⁺], obsd 254.1371; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, helium (72 kPa); initial column temp, 80 °C (11 min) to 140 °C (8.5 min) at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹; t_R of (S) -2a: 23.8 min, t_R of (R) -2a: 24.7 min; 96% ee.

(R)-Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoate [(R)-**1b**]. 48% yield (389 mg, colorless oil); $R_f = 0.39$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 6:1); $[\alpha]^{27}$ _D -25.3 (*c* 1.05, CHCl₃) (lit.^{6d} $[\alpha]^{25}$ ₃₆₅ = -84 (*c* 1, CH₃OH), >[95](#page-5-0)% ee (R)); IR (KBr) 3513, 2959, 1727 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.98 (s, 9H), 1.32 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.78 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 3.79 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 4.19−4.33 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 14.2, 25.7, 35.2, 61.2, 78.3, 174.3; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 183.0992 [M + Na⁺], obsd 183.0995; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, helium (72 kPa); column temp, 80 $^{\circ}$ C (11 min) to 110 $^{\circ}$ C at a rate of 10 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹; $t_{\rm R}$ of (R) -1b: 12.9 min, t_R of (S) -1b: 13.3 min; 97% ee.

(S)-Methyl 3,3-Dimethyl-2-propylaminocarbonyloxybutanoate [(S)-**2b**]. 46% yield (572 mg, colorless oil); *Rf* = 0.17 (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 6:1); $[\alpha]^{28}$ _D +9.25 (*c* 1.02, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3377, 2965, 2875, 1731 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.92 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 1.02 (s, 9H), 1.28 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 1.49− 1.59 (m, 2H), 3.15 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 4.15−4.28 (m, 2H), 4.59 (s, 1H), 4.88 (br s, 1H); 13C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 11.0, 14.0, 23.0, 26.1, 33.5, 42.6, 60.6, 79.9, 155.9, 170.0; Anal. Calcd for

C12H23NO4: C, 58.75, H, 9.45, N, 5.71. Found: C, 58.98, H, 9.67, N, 5.64; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 268.1519 [M + Na⁺], obsd 268.1518; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, helium (72 kPa); initial column temp, 80 °C (11 min) to 150 °C (8.5 min) at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹; *t*_R of (*S*)-2b: 23.7 min, *t*_R of (*R*)-2b: 24.2 min; 95% ee.

(R)-Isopropyl 2-Hydroxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoate [(R)-**1c**]. 42% yield (337 mg, colorless oil); $R_f = 0.36$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 6:1); $[\alpha]^{22}$ _D −24.4 (*c* 1.04, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3515, 2981, 1721 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.98 (s, 9H), 1.30 (d, 6H, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 2.81 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 3.75 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 5.13 $(\text{hept, 1H, } J = 6.3 \text{ Hz})$; ¹³C NMR (67.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.6, 21.7, 25.7, 35.1, 69.0, 78.2, 173.7; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 197.1148 [M + Na⁺], obsd 197.1151; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, helium (58 kPa); column temp 80 $^{\circ}$ C (16 min) to 100 $^{\circ}$ C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹; *t*_R of (*S*)-1c: 16.9 min, *t*_R of (*R*)-1c: 17.2 min; 96% ee.

(S)-Isopropyl 3,3-Dimethyl-2-propylaminocarbonyloxybutanoate $[(S)-2c]$. 49% yield (587 mg, colorless oil), $R_f = 0.17$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 6:1); $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D +8.31 (*c* 1.08, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3383, 2966, 2876, 1732 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.93 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 1.02 (s, 9H), 1.25 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.2 Hz),1.28 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 1.47−1.57 (m, 2H), 3.15 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 4.54 (s, 1H), 4.87 (br s, 1H), 5.09 (hept, 1H, *J* = 6.2 Hz); 13C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.1, 21.6, 21.7, 23.0, 26.2, 33.6, 42.7, 68.3, 80.0, 155.9, 169.4; Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₅NO₄: C, 60.21, H, 9.72, N, 5.40. Found: C, 60.13, H, 10.03, N, 5.43; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 282.1676 [M + Na⁺], obsd 282.1673; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, helium (72 kPa); initial column temp, 80 °C (16 min) to 150 °C (11 min) at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹; t_R of (*S*)-2c: 30.6 min, t_R of (*R*)-2c 31.5 min; 95% ee.

(R)-tert-Butyl 2-Hydroxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoate [(R)-**1d**]. 47% yield (93 mg, colorless oil); $R_f = 0.34$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate $= 10:1$); $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D -19.7 (*c* 0.93, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3510, 2979, 1719, cm^{−1}; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* 0.97 (s, 9H), 1.50 (s, 9H), 2.86 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 3.67 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 25.9, 28.0, 35.3, 78.4, 82.4, 173.9; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 211.1305 [M + Na⁺], obsd 211.1308; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, helium (72 kPa); column temp, 100 °C; t_R of (S) -1d: 6.9 min, t_R of (R) -1d: 7.2 min; 97% ee.

(S)-tert-Butyl 3,3-Dimethyl-2-propylaminocarbonyloxybutanoate [(S)-**2d**]. 45% yield (131 mg, colorless oil), *Rf* = 0.13 (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate =10:1); $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D +7.31 (*c* 1.31, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3394, 2967, 2875, 1728, 1712 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.92 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 1.01 (s, 9H), 1.45−1.63 (m, 11H), 3.15 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.4 Hz), 4.47 (s, 1H), 4.86 (br s, 1H); 13C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 11.1, 23.1, 26.3, 28.0, 33.6, 42.7, 80.2, 81.4, 156.0, 169.1; Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₇NO₄: C, 61.51, H, 9.96, N, 5.12, Found: C, 61.10, H, 10.01, N, 5.10; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 296.1832 [M + Na⁺], obsd 296.1827; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, helium (72 kPa); column temp, 100 $^{\circ}$ C (10 min) to 150 °C (7 min) at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹; *t*_R of (*S*)-2d: 20.8 min, *t*_R of (*R*)-2d: 21.3 min; 96% ee.

(R)-Methyl 2-Hydroxy-3-methyl-3-phenylbutanoate [(R)-**1e**]. 43% yield (90.4 mg, colorless oil); $R_f = 0.39$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate $= 4:1$); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{24}$ –43.2 (*c* 0.550, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3507, 2971, 2881, 1732 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 2.70 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 3.60 (s, 3H), 4.22 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 7.23− 7.37 (m, 5H); 13C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 24.5, 24.6, 42.3, 51.9, 78.6, 126.3, 126.4, 128.0, 144.8, 173.9; Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O₃: C, 69.21, H, 7.74, Found: C, 68.93, H, 7.78; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 231.0990 [M + Na⁺], obsd 231.0992; Chiral HPLC analysis: column, CHIRALPAK AS-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); eluent, *n*-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10; flow, 0.5 mL min[−]¹ ; column temp, 40 °C; detection, UV 254 nm; t_R of (*R*)-1e: 11.5 min, t_R of (*S*)-1e: 9.3 min; 97% ee.

(S)-Methyl 3-Methyl-3-phenyl-2-propylaminocarbonyloxybutanoate [(S)-2e]. 50% yield (150 mg, colorless oil); $R_f = 0.25$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 4:1); $[\alpha]^{24}$ _D +40.4 (*c* 0.93, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3372, 2967, 2876, 1729 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.88 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.45−1.50 (m, 5H), 3.09 (q, 2H,

J = 6.8 Hz), 3.56 (s, 3H), 4.78 (br s, 1H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 7.21−7.38 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.0, 22.9, 24.5, 24.8, 40.5, 42.6, 51.5, 79.5, 126.1, 126.4, 127.9, 144.9, 155.5, 170.1; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 316.1519 [M + Na⁺], obsd 316.1515; Chiral HPLC analysis: column, CHIRALPAK AS-H; eluent, *n*-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10; flow, 0.5 mL min[−]¹ ; column temp, 40 °C; detection, UV 254 nm; t_R of (*R*)-2e: 8.8 min, t_R of (*S*)-2e: 10.0 min; 95% ee.

(−)-tert-Butyl 2-Hydroxy-3-methylbutanoate [(−)-**1f**]. 45% (94 mg, colorless oil); $R_f = 0.53$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 6:1); $\left[\alpha \right]^{25}$ _D −4.4 (*c* 0.31, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3515, 2964, 2876, 1721 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMP (270 MHz, CDCl) 8.0.86 (*d* 3H J − 4.5 Hz) 1.02 (*d* 3H J H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.86 (d, 3H, *J* = 4.5 Hz), 1.02 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 1.49 (s, 3H), 2.01−2.08 (m, 1H), 2.75 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.9 Hz), 3.92 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.3$ Hz, 5.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 15.7, 18.7, 27.9, 32.0, 74.8, 82.0, 174.1; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 197.1148 [M + Na⁺], obsd 197.1152; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, herium (72 kPa); column temp, 100 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$; t_{R} of major 1f: 6.3 min, t_R of minor 1f: 5.8 min; 72% ee.

(+)-tert-Butyl 3-Methyl-2-propylaminocarbonyloxybutanoate $[(+)-2f]$. 46% (142 mg, colorless oil); $R_f = 0.35$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ ethyl acetate = 6:1); $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ +1.6 (*c* 1.28, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3389, 2967, 2876, 1728, 1519 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.91− 1.00 (m, 9H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.51−1.57 (m, 2H), 2.13−2.21 (m, 1H), 3.16 (q, 2H, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 4.72 (d, 1H, $J = 4.3$ Hz), 4.86 (br s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.2, 17.1, 18.7, 23.1, 28.0, 30.2, 42.7, 76.9, 81.5, 156.0, 169.7; HRMS (EI): *m*/*z* calcd 260.1862 [M + H⁺], obsd 260.1871; Chiral HPLC analysis: column CHIRALPAK AD-H $(0.46 \text{ cm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$, eluent, *n*-hexane/2-propanol = 97:3, flow, 1.0 mL min^{−1}, column temp, 40 °C; detection, ŪV 230 nm; *t_R* of major **2f**: 7.5 min, t_p of minor 2f: 6.8 min; 69% ee.

(+)-Methyl 2-Hydroxy-3,3-diphenylpropanoate [(+)-**1g**]. 45% yield (115 mg, colorless solid); $R_f = 0.17$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 6:1); $[\alpha]^{24}$ _D +27.2 (*c* 0.74, CHCl₃); Mp 62–64 °C; IR (KBr) 3487, 3028, 2950, 2923, 1737 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 2.77 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 3.70 (s, 3H), 4.49 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 4.94 (dd, 1H, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 6.5 Hz), 7.20−7.43 (m, 10H); 13C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* 52.5, 54.2, 73.4, 126.5, 126.7, 127.1, 128.36, 128.38, 128.5, 129.3, 138.9, 141.2, 174.1; Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₆O₃: C, 74.98, H, 6.29. Found: C, 74.93, H, 6.47; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 279.0992 [M + Na⁺], obsd 279.0988; Chiral HPLC analysis: column, CHIRALCEL OD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); eluent, *n*-hexane/2 propanol = 90:10; flow, 0.5 mL min $^{-1}$; column temp, 40 °C; detection, UV 254 nm; t_R of minor 1g: 15.9 min, t_R of major 1g: 17.5 min; 83% ee.

(−)-Methyl 3,3-Diphenyl-2-propylaminocarbonyloxypropanoate [(−)-**2g**]. 43% yield (144 mg, colorless wax); *Rf* = 0.10 (eluent, *n*hexane/ethyl acetate = 6:1); $[\alpha]^{24}$ _D +77.3 (*c* 1.21, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3374, 3066, 3028, 2963, 1730 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.76−0.79 (br m, 3H), 0.86 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 1.32 (br m, 2H), 1.47 (sext, 2H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 2.96−3.01 (br m, 2H), 3.09 (q, 2H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.51 (s, 3H), 4.55 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 4.63−4.64 (m, 1H), 4.78 (br s, 1H), 5.72 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 7.20−7.31 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR(100 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* 11.1, 23.0, 42.8, 52.0, 52.5, 74.6, 126.9, 127.0 (two signals), 128.4, 128.7, 139.5, 139.6, 155.3, 170.7; Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}NO_4$: C, 70.36, H, 6.79, N, 4.10. Found: C, 70.33, H, 6.88, N, 4.10; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 364.1519 [M + Na⁺], obsd 364.1515; Chiral HPLC analysis: column, CHIRALCEL OD-H; eluent, *n*-hexane/2-propanol = $90:10$; flow, 0.5 mL min⁻¹; column temp, 40 °C; detection, UV 254 nm; t_R of minor 2g: 8.8 min, t_R of major 2g: 10.0 min; 84% ee.

(R)-Methyl 2-Hydroxy-3,3-diphenylbutanoate [(R)-**1h**].6a 48% yield (650 mg, colorless solid); $R_f = 0.35$ (eluent, *n*-hexa[ne/](#page-5-0)ethyl acetate = 4:1); $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D -92.3 (*c* 0.97, CHCl₃); Mp 33 °C; IR (KBr) 3504, 3088, 3056, 3028, 2950, 1732 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 1.77 (s, 3H), 2.97 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 3.45 (s, 3H), 5.00 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.17−7.36 (m, 10H); 13C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 24.3, 51.5, 52.0, 76.2, 126.1, 126.4, 127.81, 127.82, 128.0, 145.0, 146.2, 173.8; Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{18}O_3$: C, 75.53, H, 6.71. Found: C, 75.48, H, 6.83; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 293.1148 [M + Na⁺], obsd 293.1150; Chiral HPLC analysis: column, CHIRALPAK IA (0.46 cm × 25 cm); eluent, *n*-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10; flow, 0.5 mL min⁻¹;

column temp, 40 °C; detection, UV 254 nm; t_R of (*S*)-1h: 13.3 min, t_R of (*R*)-1h: 15.0 min; 97% ee.

(S)-Methyl 3,3-Diphenyl-2-propylaminocarbonyloxybutanoate [(S)-2h]. 50% yield (893 mg, colorless solid); $R_f = 0.21$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate =4:1); $[\alpha]^{24}$ _D +206 (*c* 0.99, CHCl₃); Mp 99− 101 °C; IR (KBr) 3401, 3088, 3061, 3028, 2963, 2878, 1729 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* 0.79−0.82 (br m, 3H), 0.90 (t, 3H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 1.34−1.41 (br m, 2H), 1.46−1.57 (m, 2H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 2.99− 3.02 (br m, 2H), 3.08−3.21 (m, 2H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 4.63 (br s, 1H), 4.86 (br s, 1H), 5.71 (s, 1H), 7.11−7.32 (m, 10H); 13C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 11.0, 22.9, 23.5, 42.7, 49.1, 51.5, 77.2, 126.3, 126.4, 127.5, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 145.1, 145.4, 155.4, 170.0; Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}NO_4$: C, 70.96, H, 7.09, N, 3.94. Found: C, 70.64, H, 7.15, N, 3.91; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 378.1676 [M + Na⁺], obsd 378.1676; Chiral HPLC analysis: column, CHIRALPAK IA; eluent, *n*-hexane/2 propanol = 90:10; flow, 0.5 mL min $^{-1}$; column temp, 40 °C; detection, UV 254 nm; t_R of (*S*)-2h: 11.3 min, t_R of (*R*)-2h: 13.8 min; 97% ee.

(−)-Methyl 2-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-3,3-diphenylpropanoate [(−)-**1i**]. 53% yield (151 mg, colorless solid); *Rf* = 0.22 (eluent, *n*hexane/ethyl acetate =6:1); $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D −9.00 (*c* 1.36, CHCl₃); Mp 97− 100 °C; IR (KBr) 3498, 3059, 3026, 2951, 2833, 1732 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 2.95 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 3.15 (s, 3H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 5.17 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.28–7.43 (m, 10 H); ¹³C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* 52.2 (two signals), 73.7, 84.8, 127.4, 127.56, 127.59, 127.7, 128.4, 128.8, 139.8, 140.5, 172.7, Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{18}O_4$: C, 71.31, H, 6.34. Found: C, 71.36, H, 6.42; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 309.1097 [M + Na⁺], obsd 309.1099; Chiral HPLC analysis: column, CHIRALPAK IA; eluent, *n*-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10; flow, 0.5 mL min⁻¹; column temp, 40 °C; detection, UV 254 nm; *t*_R of minor 1i: 11.4 min, t_R of major 1i: 13.0 min; 51% ee.

(+)-Methyl 3-Methoxy-3,3-diphenyl-2-propylaminocarbonyloxypropanoate $[(+)-2i]$. 32% yield (119 mg, colorless wax); $R_f = 0.08$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 6:1); $[\alpha]^{24}$ _D +90.1 (*c* 1.10, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3370, 3060, 2963, 2876, 2840, 1729 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.75−0.79 (br m, 3H), 0.90 (t, 3H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 1.20−1.27 (br m, 2H), 1.47−1.54 (m, 2H), 2.92−2.97 (br m, 2H), 3.08−3.22 (m, 2H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 3.52 (s, 3H), 4.62 (br s, 1H), 4.78 (br s, 1H), 5.99 (s, 1H), 7.28–7.33 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 11.0, 22.9, 42.8, 51.8, 52.9, 75.6, 83.5, 127.41, 127.47, 127.54, 127.7, 127.9, 128.1, 140.6, 141.2, 155.0, 168.7; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd 394.1625 [M + Na⁺], obsd 394.1627; Chiral HPLC analysis: column, CHIRALCEL OD-H; eluent, *n*-hexane/2-propanol = 90:10; flow, 0.5 mL min[−]¹ ; column temp, 40 °C; detection, UV 254 nm; *t*_R of major 2i: 13.4 min, *t*_R of minor 2i: 16.9 min; 85% ee.

(R)-Methyl 2-Hydroxy-3,3-pentamethylenebutanoate [(R)-**1j**]. 50% yield (92.1 mg, colorless oil); $R_f = 0.37$ (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 4:1); $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D −27.5 (*c* 0.48, CHCl₃), IR (KBr) 3508, 2927, 2856, 1732 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz,CDCl3) *δ* 0.88 (s, 3H), 1.30− 1.55 (m, 10H), 2.68 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.96 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.4, 21.59, 21.61, 26.1, 33.7, 34.0, 37.9, 52.0, 77.6, 175.0; HRMS(ESI): *m*/*z* calcd for $C_{10}H_{18}O_3$: 209.1148 $[M + Na^+]$, obsd 209.1150; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, helium (80 kPa); column temp, 80 to 130 °C at a rate of 0.5 °C min⁻¹; *t*_R of (*R*)-1j: 50.9 min, *t*_R of (*S*)-1j: 52.0 min; 95% ee.

(S)-Methyl 3,3-Pentamethylene-2-propylaminocarbonyloxybutanoate [(S)-**2j**]. 45% yield (120 mg, colorless oil); *Rf* = 0.23 (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 4:1); $[a]^{23}$ _D +17.2 (*c* 0.670, CHCl₃), IR (KBr) 3386, 3056, 2934, 1719 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 0.92 (t, 3H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 0.97 (s, 3H), 1.26−1.59 (m, 10H), 3.15 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 3.74 (s, 3H), 4.78 (s, 1H), 4.86 (br s, 1H); 13C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 11.1, 20.1, 21.3, 21.4, 23.0, 25.9, 34.1, 36.3, 42.7, 51.5, 78.9, 156.0, 170.6; HRMS(ESI): m/z calcd for C₁₄H₂₅NO₄: 294.1676 [M + Na⁺], obsd 294.1674; Chiral GC analysis: column, CP-Chirasil-Dex; carrier gas, helium (80 kPa); initial column temp, 130 ${}^{\circ}C$; t_R of (*S*)-2j: 98.1 min, t_R of (*R*)-2j: 101.4 min; 95% ee.

Gram-Scale Enantiomer-Selective Carbamoylation of 1h (Table 2, entry 9). Racemic substrate (\pm) -1h (13.5 g, 49.9 mmol) and CH_2Cl_2 (46 mL) were placed in an oven-dried 200-mL threenecked flask equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar, a serum-rubber cap, and a rubber balloon filled with argon. A 25 mM CH₂Cl₂ solution of (S,S) -3 (4.0 mL, 100 μ mol) was added to this flask through a syringe filter unit. The resulting solution was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C. To this solution was added n -C₃H₇NCO (2.60 g, 30.2 mmol, 0.61 equivalents to 1h), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5.5 h at 0 °C. Methanol (10 mL) was added to the mixture, which was then concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude product (17.1 g) as a green viscous oil. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluent, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1 to 2:1) to give (*R*)-1h in >99.9% ee (6.27 g, 47% yield); $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D −98.2 (*c* 1.13, $CHCl₃$).

Determination of the Absolute Configuration of Products. The absolute configurations of (*R*)-1c and -1d were determined by comparing their chiral GC behaviors with those of the authentic samples prepared from commercially available (*S*)-2-hydroxy-3,3 dimethylbutanoic acid by esterification according to the method described in the literature.^{13c}

The absolute configura[tion](#page-6-0)s of (*R*)-1e, -1h, and -1j were estimated by ¹ H NMR analysis after conversion to the (*R*)- and (*S*)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)acetic acid (MTPA) esters.¹⁶ The values of $\Delta\delta$ ($\delta_R - \delta_S$) are listed below.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

S Supporting Information

NMR spectra of compounds 1 and 2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at [http://pubs.acs.org.](http://pubs.acs.org)

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: ohkuma@eng.hokudai.ac.jp.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) (No. 21350048).

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Coppola, G. M.; Schuster, H. F. *α-Hydroxy Acids in Enantioselective Synthesis*; VCH: Weinheim, 1997.

(2) Reviews: (a) Blaser, H. U. *Chem. Rev.* 1992, *92*, 935−952. (b) Santaniello, E.; Ferraboschi, P.; Grisenti, P.; Manzocchi, A. *Chem. Rev.* 1992, *92*, 1071−1140. (c) Grabowski, E. J. J. In *Chiral Reagents for Asymmetric Synthesis*; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, 2003; pp 335−338. (d) Tao, J.; McGee, K. In *Asymmetric Catalysis on Industrial Scale: Challenges, Approaches, and Solutions*; Blaser, H. U., Schmidt, E., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004; pp 323−334.

(3) For kinetic resolution of *α*-hydroxy esters and their analogues by chemical methods, see: (a) Tang, L.; Deng, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, *124*, 2870−2871. (b) Radosevich, A. T.; Musich, C.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 1090−1091. (c) Gissibi, A.; Finn, M. G.; Reiser, O. *Org. Lett.* 2005, *7*, 2325−2328. (d) Weng, S.-S.; Shen, M.-W.; Kao, J.-Q.; Munot, Y. S.; Chen, C.-T. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

U.S.A. 2006, *103*, 3522−3527. (e) Onomura, O.; Mitsuda, M.; Nguyen, M. T. T.; Demizu, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, *48*, 9080−9084. (f) Shiina, I.; Nakata, K.; Ono, K.; Sugimoto, M.; Sekiguchi, A. *Chem.Eur. J.* 2010, *16*, 167−172.

(4) For kinetic resolution of *α*-hydroxy esters and their analogues by enzymatic methods, see: (a) Kallwass, H. K. W.; Yee, C.; Blythe, T. A.; McNabb, T. J.; Rogers, E. E.; Shames, S. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 1994, *2*, 557−566. (b) Adam, W.; Fell, R. T.; Hoch, U.; Saha-Möller, C. R.; Schreier, P. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1995, *6*, 1047−1050. (c) Adam, W.; Lazarus, M.; Schmerder, A.; Humpf, H.-U.; Saha-Möller, C. R.; Schreier, P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 1998, 2013−2018. (d) Grö ger, H. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2001, *343*, 547−558. (e) Campbell, R. F.; Fitzpatrick, K.; Inghardt, T.; Karlsson, O.; Nilsson, K.; Reilly, J. E.; Yet, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, *44*, 5477−5481. (f) Huang, S.-H.; Tsai, S.-W. *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.* 2004, *28*, 65−69. (g) Lee, Y. S.; Hong, J. H.; Jeon, N. Y.; Won, K.; Kim, B. T. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2004, *8*, 948−951. (h) Itoh, T.; Matsushita, Y.; Abe, Y.; Han, S.; Wada, S.; Hayase, S.; Kawatsura, M.; Takai, S.; Morimoto, M.; Hirose, Y. *Chem.Eur. J.* 2006, *12*, 9228−9237.

(5) For example, horseradish peroxidase effectively catalyzed enantiomer-selective reduction of methyl 2-hydroperoxybutanoate to the hydroxy ester. However, no conversion was observed in the reaction of methyl 2-hydroperoxy-3,3-dimethylbutanoate. See ref 4b for details.

(6) See for example: (a) Jansen, R.; Knopp, M.; Amberg, W.; Bernard, H.; Koser, S.; Müller, S.; Mü nster, I.; Pfeiffer, T.; Riechers, H. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2001, *5*, 16−22. (b) Murakami, N.; Tamura, S.; Koyama, K.; Sugimoto, M.; Maekawa, R.; Kobayashi, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004, *14*, 2597−2601. (c) Morrissette, M. M.; Stauffer, K. J.; Williams, P. D.; Lyle, T. A.; Vacca, J. P.; Krueger, J. A.; Lewis, S. D.; Lucas, B. J.; Wong, B. K.; White, R. B.; Miller-Stein, C.; Lyle, E. A.; Wallace, A. A.; Leonard, Y. M.; Welsh, D. C.; Lynch, J. J.; McMasters, D. R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004, *14*, 4161−4164. (d) Nelson, T. D.; LeBlond, C. R.; Frantz, D. E.; Matty, L.; Mitten, J. V.; Weaver, D. G.; Moore, J. C.; Kim, J. M.; Boid, R.; Kim, P.; Gbewonyo, K.; Brower, M.; Sturr, M.; McLaughlin, K.; McMasters, D. R.; Kress, M. H.; McNamara, J. M.; Dolling, U. H. *J. Org. Chem.* 2004, *69*, 3620− 3627.

(7) Asymmetric hydrogenation of *α*-*tert*-alkyl-*α*-keto esters is a direct method to obtain the optically active α -hydroxy esters; however, the bulkiness of these substrates makes it difficult to achieve high reactivity. To our knowledge, only one example of highly enantioselective hydrogenation of 3,3-dimethyl-2-oxobutanoate catalyzed by a $Ru(II)$ complex has been reported ($S/C = 37$, 150 bar of H2, 50 °C, 24 h; 97.5% yield, >98% ee); see: Sirges, W.; Dreisbach, C. U.S. Patent 2002/0035271 A1, 2002; *Chem. Abstr.* 2002, *136*, 37900. (8) Kurono, N.; Kondo, T.; Wakabayashi, M.; Ooka, H.; Inoue, T.; Tachikawa, H.; Ohkuma, T. *Chem. Asian J.* 2008, *3*, 1289−1297.

(9) For the asymmetric carbamoylation of *meso*-diols catalyzed by a Ph-Box−Cu(II) complex with an S/C of 10 at −40 °C to give the chiral products in up to 93% ee, see: Matsumoto, K.; Mitsuda, M.; Ushijima, N.; Demizu, Y.; Onomura, O.; Mastumura, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, *47*, 8453−8456.

(10) For recent reviews on Box−metal-catalyzed asymmetric reactions, see: (a) Ghosh, A. K.; Mathivanan, P.; Cappiello, J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1998, *9*, 1−45. (b) J*ϕ*rgensen, K. A.; Johannsen, M.; Yao, S.; Audrain, H.; Thorhauge, J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1999, *32*, 605−613. (c) Evans, D. A.; Rovis, T.; Johnson, J. S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1999, *71*, 1407−1415. (d) Johnson, J. S.; Evans, D. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2000, *33*, 325−335. (e) McManus, H. A.; Guiry, P. J. *Chem. Rev.* 2004, *104*, 4151−4202. (f) Desimoni, G.; Faita, G.; J*ϕ*rgensen, K. A. *Chem. Rev.* 2006, *106*, 3561−3651. (g) Rasappan, R.; Laventine, D.; Reiser, O. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2008, *252*, 702−714.

(11) See for example: (a) Kagan, H. B.; Fiaud, J. C. *Top. Stereochem.* 1988, *18*, 249−330. (b) Sih, C. J.; Wu, S.-H. *Top. Stereochem.* 1989, *19*, 63−125.

(12) Evans, D. A.; Peterson, G. S.; Johnson, J. S.; Barnes, D. M.; Campos, K. R.; Woerpel, K. A. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, *63*, 4541−4544.

(13) For the cyanosilylation of aldehydes, see: (a) Kurono, N.; Yamagichi, M.; Suzuki, K.; Ohkuma, T. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005, *70*, 6530− 6532. For the hydrolysis of nitrile, see: (b) Tanaka, K.; Mori, A.; Inoue, S. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, *55*, 181−185. For the esterification of carboxylic acids, see: (c) Crosignari, S.; White, P. D.; Linclau, B. *Org. Lett.* 2002, *4*, 2961−2963. (d) West, K. R.; Bake, K. D.; Otto, S. *Org. Lett.* 2005, *7*, 2615−2618.

(14) Brown, H. C.; Cho, B. T.; Park, W. S. *J. Org. Chem.* 1988, *53*, 1231−1238.

(15) Duggan, M. I.; Imagire, J. S. *Synthesis* 1989, 131−132.

(16) Ohtani, I.; Kusumi, T.; Kashman, Y.; Kakisawa, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991, *113*, 4092−4096.